UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,499	09/22/2004	Mark Yamazaki	81102778 / FMC 1781 PUS 5498	
28395 BROOKS KUS	7590 07/03/2007 SHMAN P.C./FGTL		EXAMINER	
1000 TOWN CENTER		PIGGUSH, AARON C		
22ND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD	), MI 48075-1238		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2838	
				<del></del>
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/711,499	YAMAZAKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Aaron Piggush	2838			
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on 22 Se	<u>eptember 2004</u> .				
2a)☐ This action	) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this	e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in a	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Clair	ms					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
10)⊠ The drawin Applicant m Replacemen	cation is objected to by the Examiner g(s) filed on <u>22 September 2004</u> is/a ay not request that any objection to the cont drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Example 2	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	on Cited (PTO 902)	() Detection Conserved	(DTO 442)			
3) Information Disclos Paper No(s)/Mail D	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aoki (US 6,960,152).

With respect to claim 1, Aoki discloses a method of controlling charging of a power source of a hybrid vehicle, the hybrid vehicle comprising a set of power sources including a primary power source and at least one secondary power source, and an electrical machine adapted to be driven by at least one member of the set of power sources, the method comprising: determining a maximum output torque level of the primary power source (Fig. 12, col 11 ln 32-49, and col 22 ln 29-47); determining a state of charge of the secondary power source (col 8 ln 35-38); determining a charge torque modifier value based on the maximum output torque level and the state of charge (col 11 ln 15-64, col 22 ln 39-59, col 24 ln 38-55, and col 2 ln 19-36); determining a target torque level for the electrical machine based on the charge torque modifier value (col 11 ln 23-49, col 24 ln 64 to col 25 ln 48, and col 2 ln 19-36); and driving the electrical machine at the target torque level with the primary power source to charge the secondary power source (col 22 ln 39-59 and col 11 ln 15-64).

Art Unit: 2838

With respect to claim 2, Aoki discloses wherein the step of determining the maximum output torque level further includes determining whether the primary power source is providing output torque (col 10 ln 60 to col 11 ln 53).

With respect to claim 3, Aoki discloses wherein the step of determining the charge torque modifier value further comprises comparing a state of charge of the secondary power source to a threshold value and selecting a first adjustment value if the state of charge is less than the threshold value and selecting a second adjustment value if the state of charge is not less than the threshold value (col 11 ln 15-64 and Fig. 7).

With respect to claim 4, Aoki discloses wherein the first adjustment value is greater than the second adjustment value (col 29 ln 3-14 and col 11 ln 15-64). Please note that there are multiple adjustment values wherein as the SOC becomes less, the charge/discharge requirement (which affects the charge torque modifier) becomes greater.

With respect to claims 5 and 6, Aoki discloses wherein the first adjustment value is a constant based on the maximum output torque level and the second adjustment value is based on the maximum output torque level and the state of charge (col 29 ln 3-14 and ln 22-34 and col 11 ln 15-64). Please note that the claim language does not require that the second adjustment value is not a constant or that the first adjustment value cannot also be based on the state of charge.

With respect to claim 7, Aoki discloses wherein the second adjustment value decreases linearly as the state of charge increases (col 29 ln 3-14 and ln 22-34 and col 11 ln 15-64). The "linearly" term is reasonably met by the reference because his equations for the requirement outputs/torques are all linear based equations, implying that any adjustment values will also be linear in nature.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,499 Page 4

Art Unit: 2838

With respect to claims 9 and 10, Aoki discloses wherein the primary power source is an internal combustion engine (no. 11 in Fig. 6, col 1 ln 9-25, and well known that hybrid vehicles use an internal combustion engine) and wherein the at least one secondary power source is a battery (no. 43 in Fig. 6, col 1 ln 9-25, and well known that hybrid vehicles use a battery as a power source).

With respect to claims 11 and 12, Aoki discloses wherein the electrical machine is a starter-alternator and wherein the electrical machine is a motor-generator (no. 25 and 16 in Fig. 6 and Fig. 15-17). To clarify, it is well known that motors of vehicles include a starter and that AC generators and alternators are synonymous (all of which are found in hybrid vehicles).

With respect to claims 13 and 14, please see the rejection of claims 1-7 above.

Additionally, the determination of whether the electrical machine is being driven by the engine and is charging the power source is also met (no. 51, 44, 46, 47, and 49 in Fig. 6, Fig. 7, and col 11 ln 15-64).

With respect to claim 15, please see the rejection of claim 1 above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki (US 6,960,152).

Art Unit: 2838

With respect to claims 8 and 16, Aoki discloses wherein the step of determining a charge torque modifier value is based on the state of charge and an actual output torque of the primary power source (col 11 ln 15-64, col 22 ln 39-59, col 24 ln 38-55, and col 2 ln 19-36), however, does not expressly disclose wherein the actual output torque is expressed as a percentage of the maximum output torque level.

Although, it should be noted that it is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that expressing values as a percentage involves simple mathematical computations, wherein any ratio between two different values represents a percentage. It does not appear that the applicant has disclosed that expressing the output torque as a percentage of the maximum output torque level solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose, and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with using the actual output torque.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to express the actual output torque as a percentage of the maximum output torque level in the device of Aoki, so that a simplified value could be displayed to or collected by the driver/user of the device (providing more information during control of the vehicle).

With respect to claim 17, please see the rejection of claims 1-7, 13, and 8 above. Furthermore, the provision of a consistent level of vehicle acceleration as the accelerator pedal is actuated is also met (col 1 ln 51 to col 2 ln 63 and Fig. 26 and 28).

With respect to claims 18-20, please see the rejection of claims 3-6 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,499

Art Unit: 2838

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Deguchi (US 2002/0107618) and Nakajima (US 6,090,007) both disclose a control device/method for a hybrid vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Piggush whose telephone number is 571-272-5978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on 571-272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AP

GARY L.LAXTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6